

Exercise 11.

Step f) At step $n+1$ I^{\wedge} is applied. In this case there are steps $i \leq n$ and $j \leq n$, such that:

- i) At step i a sentence ψ has been introduced. At step j a sentence χ has been introduced. Sentence $\varphi = \psi \wedge \chi$.
- ii) the union of Γ_i and Γ_j is a proper subset of Γ_{n+1}

Following the induction hypothesis we may assume that:

- the union of Δ and Γ_i entails ψ
- the union of Δ and Γ_j entails χ

from this it follows, using the semantic definition of conjunction, that the union of Δ and Γ_{n+1} entails φ .

Step g) At step $n+1$ E^{\wedge} is applied. In this case there is step $i \leq n$, such that:

- i) At step i a sentence ψ has been introduced, of the form $\psi = \varphi \wedge \chi$ or $\psi = \chi \wedge \varphi$.
- ii) Γ_i is a proper subset of Γ_{n+1}

Following the induction hypothesis we may assume that:

- the union of Δ and Γ_i entails ψ

from this it follows, using the semantic definition of conjunction, that the union of Δ and Γ_{n+1} entails φ .

Step h) At step $n+1$ I^{\vee} is applied. In this case there is step $i \leq n$, such that:

- i) At step i a sentence ψ has been introduced. There is an arbitrary sentence χ . Sentence $\varphi = \psi \vee \chi$.
- ii) Γ_i is a proper subset of Γ_{n+1}

Following the induction hypothesis we may assume that:

- the union of Δ and Γ_i entails ψ

from this it follows, using the semantic definition of disjunction, that the union of Δ and Γ_{n+1} entails φ .

Step j) Application of $E \rightarrow$

Step k) Application of $I \rightarrow$

Step l) Application of $E \sim\sim$

Exercise 12

Suppose $\sim\sim\psi$ is derivable from Δ in intuitionistic logic, then there is a simple derivation in classical logic to obtain ψ :

| | | |
|-----|----------------|--------------|
| | . | |
| | . | |
| | . | |
| n | $\sim\sim\psi$ | |
| n+1 | ψ | $E \sim\sim$ |

Converse:

Suppose there is a derivation of ψ from Δ in classical logic.

base case: At step 1 we have the following possibilities:

- a premise is introduced
- an assumption is made

Both of these steps can be made in intuitionistic logic as well.

induction step:

induction hypothesis: if ψ_n can be derived in classical logic, then $\sim\sim\psi_n$ can be derived in intuitionistic logic.

Suppose the induction hypothesis holds, then at the next step the following possibilities can occur:

- a) a premise is introduced
- b) an assumption is made
- c) \perp is introduced
- d) Negation introduction

these four can be made in intuitionistic logic as well so they don't contradict the induction hypothesis.

e) EFSQ

At some step $i \leq n$ we have \perp , under the same assumptions as step n . we assume $\sim\psi_{n+1}$

repeat \perp

withdraw assumption, introduce negation. Now we have $\sim\sim\psi_{n+1}$.

f) Conjunction introduction, see lemma (ii)

g) conjunction elimination, see lemma (iii)

h) disjunction introduction, see lemma (iv)

i) disjunction elimination, see lemma (v)

j) Application of $E \rightarrow$

For some i and $j \geq n$, $\psi_i = \sim\sim\psi$ and $\psi_j = \sim\sim(\psi \rightarrow \psi)$. By lemma (vii) $\sim\sim\psi$ can be derived.

k) Application of $I \rightarrow$, at step n some ψ is in Γ and some formula $\sim\sim\psi$ has been derived. Then by lemma (vi), $\sim\sim(\psi \rightarrow \psi)$ can be derived. Alternatively, the formula ψ has been derived from ψ . In this case $\psi \rightarrow \psi$ can simply be derived.

l) Application of $E \sim\sim$

If ψ_n has an even number of negations greater than 2, then lemma (viii) is applied. Otherwise this step is skipped.

Lemma:

(iii)

| | | |
|----|------------------------------|-----------|
| 1. | $\sim\sim(\psi \wedge \psi)$ | pr. |
| 2. | +-- $\sim\psi$ | A |
| 3. | - $\psi \wedge \psi$ | A |
| 4. | ψ | E^3 |
| 5. | \perp | $E^{2,4}$ |
| 6. | $\sim(\psi \wedge \psi)$ | $I \sim$ |
| | +----- | |
| 7. | \perp | $E^{1,6}$ |
| | +----- | |
| 8. | $\sim\sim\psi$ | $I \sim$ |

(vi)

still looking for this one...

(vii)

| | | | |
|-----|--------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| 1. | | $\sim\sim\psi$ | pr. |
| 2. | | $\sim\sim(\psi \rightarrow \psi)$ | pr. |
| 3. | +--- | $\sim\psi$ | A |
| 4. | +-- | $\psi \rightarrow \psi$ | A |
| 5. | - | ψ | A |
| 6. | | \perp | E \rightarrow 4,5 |
| 7. | | \perp | E \sim 3,6 |
| | +----- | | |
| 8. | | $\sim\psi$ | I \sim |
| 9. | | \perp | E \sim 1,8 |
| | +----- | | |
| 10. | | $\sim(\psi \rightarrow \psi)$ | I \sim |
| 11. | | \perp | E \sim 10,2 |
| | +----- | | |
| 12. | | $\sim\sim\psi$ | I \sim |

Exercise 13

| | | |
|----|---|----------|
| 1. | $p \rightarrow q$ | prem. |
| 2. | $q \rightarrow r$ | prem. |
| 3. | $(q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r))$ | Axiom i |
| 4. | $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$ | 2,3 mp |
| 5. | $(p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)) \rightarrow ((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r))$ | Axiom ii |
| 6. | $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$ | 4,5 mp |
| 7. | $p \rightarrow r$ | 1,6 mp |

Exercise 14

By the deduction theorem it is sufficient to show that
 $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r), q \vdash p \rightarrow r$

| | | |
|----|---|----------|
| 1. | $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$ | prem. |
| 2. | q | prem. |
| 3. | $q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ | Axiom i |
| 4. | $p \rightarrow q$ | 2,3 mp |
| 5. | $(p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)) \rightarrow ((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r))$ | Axiom ii |
| 6. | $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$ | 4,5 mp |
| 7. | $p \rightarrow r$ | 4,6 mp |

Exercise 15

Lemma:

Axioms derived using natural deduction:

(i)

| | | | |
|----|--------|--|-----------------|
| 1. | +-- | ψ | A |
| 2. | - | ψ | A |
| 3. | | ψ | rep 1 |
| | +----- | | |
| 4. | | $\psi \rightarrow \psi$ | I \rightarrow |
| | +----- | | |
| 5. | | $\psi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \psi)$ | I \rightarrow |

(ii)

- 1. +--- $\varphi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \chi)$ A
- 2. | +--- $\varphi \rightarrow \psi$ A
- 3. | | - φ A
- 4. | | | $\psi \rightarrow \chi$ E→1, 3
- 5. | | | ψ E→2, 3
- 6. | | | χ E→4, 5
- | | +-----
- 7. | | $\varphi \rightarrow \chi$ I→
- | +-----
- 8. | $(\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \chi)$ I→
- +-----
- 9. $(\varphi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \chi)) \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \chi)$ I→

(iii)

- 1. +-- $\sim\varphi$ A
- 2. | - φ A
- 3. | | \perp E~1, 2
- | +-----
- 4. | | $\varphi \rightarrow \perp$ I→
- +-----
- 5. $\sim\varphi \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \perp)$ I→

(iv)

- 1. +-- $\varphi \rightarrow \perp$ A
- 2. | - φ A
- 3. | | \perp E→1, 2
- | +-----
- 4. | | $\sim\varphi$ I~
- +-----
- 5. $(\varphi \rightarrow \perp) \rightarrow \sim\varphi$ I→

(v)

- 1. +-- \perp A
- 2. | - $\sim\varphi$ A
- 3. | | \perp rep. 1
- | +-----
- 4. | | $\sim\sim\varphi$ I~
- 5. | φ E~~
- +-----
- 6. $\perp \rightarrow \varphi$ I→

(vi)

- 1. - $\sim\sim\varphi$ A
- 2. | φ E~~
- +-----
- 3. $\sim\sim\varphi \rightarrow \varphi$ I→

Suppose there is an axiomatic deduction of ψ from Δ , then there is also a natural deduction. Let ψ_k be the sentence derived at step k . We prove by induction on k that ψ_k is derivable using natural deduction:

base case:

- a) ψ_1 is an element of Δ
 - b) ψ_1 is an axiom
- discussed in step case

step case: $n = k + 1$.

induction hypothesis: Assume there is a natural deduction up till k .

At step n the sentence ψ_n is derived in one of the following ways:

- a) ψ_n is an element of Δ : introduce premise.
- b) ψ_n is an axiom: introduce derivation of axiom, see lemma.
- c) ψ_n is obtained using modus ponens, using $\theta \rightarrow \psi_n$ and θ at previous steps. Apply implication elimination.

Suppose there is a natural deduction of ψ from Δ , then there is also an axiomatic deduction. Let ψ_k be the sentence derived at step k . We prove by induction on k that ψ_k is derivable using axiomatic deduction:

base case:

$\Gamma_0 = \{\}$

- a) a premise is introduced
 - b) an assumption is made
- discussed in step case

step case:

induction hypothesis: $n = k + 1$. Assume there is an axiomatic deduction up till k , with $\Gamma_k = \Gamma_{k-1}$ unless otherwise specified.

At step n the following can happen:

- a) a premise is introduced: same in axiomatic deduction
- b) an assumption is made: add ψ_n to Γ_n .
- c) \perp is introduced:

For some i and $j \geq n$, $\psi_i = \sim\psi$ and $\psi_j = \psi$. Derive ψ using modus ponens on axiom (iii) with i and then j .

1. $\sim\psi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \perp)$ axiom (iii)
2. $\psi \rightarrow \perp$ mp 1, i
3. \perp mp 2, j

- d) Negation introduction: use axiom (v)

- e) EFSQ: use axiom (v)

- f) Application of $E\sim$, use axiom (vi)

- g) Application of $E\rightarrow$

For some i and $j \geq n$, $\psi_i = \psi$ and $\psi_j = \psi \rightarrow \psi$. Derive ψ using modus ponens on i and j .

- h) Application of $I\rightarrow$, at step $n-1$ some ψ is in Γ_{n-1} and some formula χ derived at step $j \leq n$. Deduction theorem shows that $\psi \rightarrow \chi$ can be obtained if Δ and ψ entail χ . ψ is removed from Γ_n

Exercise 16

Conjunction introduction

Axiom: $\psi \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow (\varphi \wedge \psi))$

Conjunction elimination:

Axiom: $(\varphi \wedge \psi) \rightarrow \varphi$

Axiom: $(\varphi \wedge \psi) \rightarrow \psi$

Disjunction introduction:

Axiom: $(\varphi \vee \psi) \rightarrow \varphi$

Axiom: $(\varphi \vee \psi) \rightarrow \psi$

Disjunction elimination:

Axiom: $(\varphi \vee \psi) \rightarrow ((\varphi \rightarrow \chi) \rightarrow ((\psi \rightarrow \chi) \rightarrow \chi))$